

*Internal  
C/007/0038*

0005

**From:** Angela Nance  
**To:** Daron Haddock; Jim Smith  
**Date:** 3/18/2008 8:42 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Wildcat Loadout, midterm review and response to DO-04, Task ID # 2938

If there are technical deficiencies then it needs to be denied/returned. The letter explaining the deficiencies can also instruct the operator to submit the application as two separate tasks. Once we get the new submittals - a new IR will be done for each new task. Should I send an email to the operator telling him that the IR is approved or should we just notify him that it is not complete and we are returning it? Questions let me know...

>>> Jim Smith 3/17/2008 4:26 PM >>>

The Wildcat Loadout IR for Task ID #2938 has been completed and the submittal is adequate to proceed to review, with the following condition.

This submittal was a combined response to deficiencies for the midterm review and to DO-04. Although it is determined initially complete as far as the response to DO-04 is concerned and ready for Technical Review, there are deficiencies as regards the midterm review. This submittal needs to be separated into two tasks and a new task number given for tracking the DO response.

A separate letter will be prepared explaining the deficiencies relating to the midterm review.

Thanks,  
JIM